NACA RM E54C24

6878



RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

JET EFFECTS ON PRESSURE LOADING OF ALL-MOVABLE

HORIZONTAL STABILIZER

By Alfred S. Valerino

Lewis Flight Propulsion Laboratory Cleveland, Ohio

Classification cancelled (or changed to MCLASSIFE)

By Authority of MASA TECA PAR SAMAUNICEMENT TISE

(OFFICER AUTHORIZED TO CHANGE)

By

GRADE OF OFFICER MAKING CHANGES



WASHINGTON

June 10, 1954

Security Classification of This Report Has Been Cancelled

NACA RM E54C24



NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

JET EFFECTS ON PRESSURE LOADING OF

ALL-MOVABLE HORIZONTAL STABILIZER

By Alfred S. Valerino

SUMMARY

An investigation was conducted in the NACA Lewis 8- by 6-foot supersonic tunnel to determine the effects of a cold exhaust jet on the pressure loadings of an all-movable, 45° sweptback horizontal stabilizer located in a region influenced by the jet. The investigation also included the effects of the stabilizer on the drags of the boattail, base annulus, and secondary-flow passage of a jet-exit model operating at Mach numbers of 0.63, 1.5, and 1.8 and at zero angle of attack. The test was conducted through a range of jet pressure ratios from 1 to 9 at stabilizer deflection angles of 0°, 5°, and 10°.

Results of this investigation indicate that at jet pressure ratios of 1 to 9 the exhaust jet did not appreciably affect the pressure loadings of the stabilizer. The largest jet effects on the stabilizer were confined to a small region near the nozzle shroud and trailing edge of the stabilizer.

The presence of the stabilizer resulted in large increases in the drags of the base and secondary-flow passage.

INTRODUCTION

An investigation was conducted in the NACA Lewis 8- by 6-foot supersonic tunnel to determine the effects of a cold exhaust jet on the pressure loadings of an all-movable horizontal stabilizer located in the vicinity of the jet. The investigation was conducted at free-stream Mach numbers of 0.63, 1.5, and 1.8 through a jet pressure-ratio range of 1 to 9 with 3-percent secondary flow at stabilizer deflection angles of 0° , 5° , and 10° .

In addition, instrumentation was included on the jet-exit model to determine the effects of the stabilizer on boattail and base pressures.







CO. VELGIANIZA

NACA RM E54C24

SYMBOLS

	SIMBOLS		
	The following symbols are used in this report:		
A	aspect ratio, b^2/S , 3.5		3261
ъ	span of horizontal stabilizers, 2.695 ft		- 11
C _{p,a}	base annulus pressure coefficient, $(p_a-p_0)/q_0$		
$C_{p,B}$	base bleed pressure coefficient, $(p_B-p_0)/q_0$		
C _{p,b}	boattail pressure coefficient, $(p_b-p_0)/q_0$		-
C _{p,s}	stabilizer pressure coefficient, $(p_s-p_0)/q_0$. : .
М	Mach number		
P	total pressure	•	قي ،
P_1/p_0	jet pressure ratio		•
p	static pressure	-	i =
đ	dynamic pressure		•
s	area of horizontal stabilizers, 2.076 sq ft		•
x	distance upstream of base		
δ	stabilizer deflection angle		
Subso	cripts:		
a	base annulus of afterbody		
В	bleed passage	_ .	
ъ	boattail		
s	stabilizer		
0	free stream		-



1



conditions upstream of nozzle exit

NACA RM E54C24



APPARATUS AND PROCEDURE

3

A schematic diagram of the wind-tunnel installation of the jet-exit model is presented in figure 1. The model was supported by two hollow struts of circular cross section that were attached to trunnions mounted in the tunnel wall. The model air flow, which was obtained from a source outside the tunnel, was measured with a sharp-edge orifice before it passed through the hollow support struts into the model. The model internal pressure was regulated by means of a butterfly valve located downstream of the orifice.

The horizontal stabilizers, which had a dihedral angle of 5°25', were rotated 90° so that they would not be located in the wakes from the horizontal support struts (fig. 1). A photograph of the stabilizers attached to the afterbody is shown in figure 2. The stabilizers had an aspect ratio of 3.5, a taper ratio of 0.15, a sweepback of 45° at the quarter-chord line, and an NACA 65A006 airfoil section parallel to the free stream at the stabilizer root section. However, the thickness of the stabilizer was tapered to 4 percent at the tip section. The plan-form dimensions of the tail surface are presented in figure 3, along with a table listing the location of the 44 static orifices (22 orifices on the pressure and 22 on the suction surfaces of one stabilizer) used to determine pressure loadings. The pressure and suction surfaces of the stabilizers correspond to the upper and lower surfaces, respectively, of a stabilizer positioned in the horizontal plane.

The stabilizers were investigated at deflection angles of 0° , 5° , and 10° and in two longitudinal positions with respect to the nozzle exit, as shown in figure 4. With the stabilizers in the aft position, the trailing edge of the root section was positioned 1.634 inches downstream of the nozzle exit; in the fore position, 0.085 inch upstream of the nozzle exit.

The model afterbody pressure instrumentation is presented in figure 5. Ten wall statics were used to measure boattail pressures. Base pressures were measured with four static orifices on the base annulus of the afterbody. Internal instrumentation consisted of a 10-tube total-pressure rake located in the constant-area section upstream of the convergent nozzle and used to determine model internal pressures. Six static orifices on the outer surface of the nozzle were used to determine pressures in the secondary-flow passage. Thirty holes of 0.136-inch diameter were drilled circumferentially around the nozzle at station 70.63 to provide for secondary flow. A calibration of the bleed holes was used to determine the secondary weight-flow-ratio.



NACA RM E54C24

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The discussion herein pertains only to the results obtained from configurations with 3-percent secondary-flow ratio at free-stream Mach number of 1.5, inasmuch as similar results were obtained at Mach numbers 0.63 and 1.8. The chordwise pressure coefficients of the stabilizer at Mach numbers of 0.63 and 1.8 for the range of jet pressure ratios investigated are presented in table I.

The chordwise pressure distributions of the stabilizers at the jet-off condition and at the jet pressure ratio P_1/p_0 of 9 are presented in figure 6. Since the tubing of the static orifices at chord stations 4.65 and 14.8 of tail station 4.50 leaked, only the jet-off data points are plotted at tail station 4.50. The distributions at jet pressure ratios of 1, 4, and 6 exhibit the same trends as do those at pressure ratio of 9 and were, therefore, not included in the figures. The data presented in figure 6 indicate that the influence of the jet on the pressure loading of the stabilizers is not appreciable. At stabilizer deflection angle of 0° (fig. 6(a)), the jet effect was felt spanwise to tail station 4.50. Increasing the deflection angle resulted in a spanwise spreading of the jet effect: with the stabilizer deflected 5° (fig. 6(b)), the pressures to tail station 5.50 were influenced; while at 100 deflection angle (fig. 6(c)), the jet affected the distribution of the stabilizer to tail station 7.09 (suction side only at tail station 7.09). For each of these configurations, however, the jet effects were confined within the region bounded by the 65- and 100-percent-chord stations.

Moving the stabilizer to the fore position (fig. 6(d)) reduced the jet effects. With a deflection angle of 10°, the jet effect was limited spanwise to tail station 4.50 and chordwise between the 70-and 100-percent-chord stations, on the suction side of the stabilizer only.

The effect of the stabilizer on the boattail pressure distribution is presented in figure 7. For zero deflection angle, the boattail pressures on both sides of the stabilizer were nearly equal. As would be expected, increasing the deflection angle resulted in a decrease in pressure near the suction side and an increase near the pressure side of the stabilizer. At pressure ratios of 6 and 9, flow separation on the boattail was experienced with the configurations with the body alone and with the stabilizer deflected 0°. As the deflection angle was increased, only the flow passing by the suction side of the stabilizer was separated.

The effect of the stabilizer on the base annulus pressure coefficients is presented in figure 8. The annulus pressure



326]

coefficients obtained from the configurations with stabilizers were not appreciably affected by the stabilizer deflection angle or by the stabilizer position. However, the presence of the stabilizer resulted in large increases in base annulus pressure coefficients. At jet pressure ratios of 4 and 9, the base pressure coefficients of the configurations with stabilizers were, respectively, approximately 37 and 150 percent higher than those of the body-alone configuration.

Pressure coefficients in the secondary-flow passage (fig. 8) were affected in a manner similar to the base annulus coefficients. Up to pressure ratios of 6, the pressures of the configurations with stabilizer did not vary significantly. However, as the pressure ratio was increased to 9, the drags due to the secondary flow, for the configurations with the stabilizer deflected 0° and 5°, were considerably higher than those of the configurations having the stabilizer deflected 10°. At jet pressure ratio of 4, the bleed-passage drag of the configurations with stabilizers was approximately 29 percent higher than that of the body-alone configuration. At pressure ratio of 9, the drags due to secondary flow for the configurations with stabilizers deflected 0° and 5° and the configurations with stabilizers having a deflection angle of 10° were, respectively, approximately 90 and 35 percent higher than the drag of the body-alone configuration.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

An investigation was conducted to determine exhaust-jet effects on the pressure loading of an all-movable, 45° sweptback horizontal stabilizer located in a region influenced by the jet. The stabilizer effects on the boattail, base annulus, and secondary-flow-passage drags of the jet-exit model were also investigated at zero model angle of attack through a range of jet pressure ratios from 1 to 9 with 3-percent secondary-flow ratio, and at stabilizer deflection angles of 0°, 5°, and 10°. The following results were obtained at free-stream Mach numbers of 0.63, 1.5, and 1.8:

- 1. Pressure loadings on the stabilizer were not appreciably affected by the jet pressure ratio.
- 2. The addition of the stabilizer to the basic configuration resulted in large increases in base annulus and secondary-flow-passage drags.

Lewis Flight Propulsion Laboratory
National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics
Cleveland, Ohio, March 22, 1954.





TABLE I. - STABILIZER PRESSURE COEFFICIENTS

(a) Mach number 1.8, stabilizer in aft position, deflection angle $= 0^{\circ}$

Tail station	Tubel	Percent chord	Jet pressure ratio, P ₁ /p ₀			
			Jet-off	4	6	9
			Pressure coefficient			
11.29	a a'	8.6	0.0507	0.0506	0.0499	0.0524
	b b'	19.9	0016 - .0229 -	0106 .0204	0106 .0204	.0122 .0343
	c c'	56.2	0786 : 0663	0727 0555	0769 0621	0827 0617
	đ d'	76.5	0876 0974	0923 0955	08 4 2 0990	0753 0958
	e e¹	85.5	1056 1007	1004 1015	1006 1014	0982 0917
7.09	f f'	4.96	0.0515 .0401 _	0.0498 .0367	0.0499 .0376	0.0606 .0556
	g'	12.9	0040 .0098	.0008 .0114	.0065	0008 .0376
	h h'	45.6	0728 - 0507	0792 0571	0810 0589	0859 0532
	1 1	60.7	1130 0622	1160 0710	1137 0635	1146 0745
	j,	77.8	1433 0925	1413 0939	1407 0941	1449 0950
	k k'	86.1	1384 1154	1380 1160	1407 1137	1400 1146
	11'	89.8	1859 1228_	1879 1217	1882 1219	1850 1212
5.50	m i	66.5	-0.2063 1285	-0.2066 1290	-0.2070 1292	-0.2055 1318
	n n'	89.2	2047 1547	2475 1544	2446 1554	1146 1523
4.50	0'	4.65	0.1957 .0851_			
	p p'	14.8	.0155 .0262			
	ď,	43.7	1007 0704	1094 0767	1096 0761	1146 0884
	r r'	57.6	2194 1654	2205 1666	2201 1661	2170 1678
	8 8 '	71.5	2481 - 1965	2622 1952	2618 1996	2211 1973
	t t'	90.3	1826 1482	1748 1486	1603 1530	0925 1285
3.85	u u'	74.7	-0.1990 0745	-0.2246 1102	-0.2225 1080	-0.1269 0532
	A .	91.9	1433 1425	1266 1601	1243 1587	0278 0573

 $^{^{1}\}mathrm{Prime}$ symbols denote static orifices on suction surface.



261



TABLE I. - Continued. STABILIZER PRESSURE COEFFICIENTS

(b) Mach number 1.8, stabilizer in aft position, deflection angle = 5°

Tail station	Tubel	Percent chord	Jet	pressure i	ratio, P ₁ /p	······································
1011 00001			Jet-off	4	6	9
			Pressure coefficient			
11.29	a a ¹	8.6	0.1852 1229	0.1867 1253	0.1818 1245	0.1871 1231
	đ t	19.9	.1187 .5132	.1203 .6282	.1279 .5656	.1489 .4334
	c c'	56.2	.0157 1661	.0149 1510	.0124 1652	.0099 1680
	đ đ¹	76.5	0315 1719	0290 1543	0373 1644	0374 1630
	e e¹	85.5	0382 1553	0398 1535	0490 1470	0490 1405
7.09	f f'	4.96	0.1835 1187	0.1842 1120	0.1827 1187	0.1846
	g,	12.9	.1112 1046	.1136 1020	.1088 1063	.1089 1031
	h h'	45.6	0091 0722	0165 0663	0348 0822	0391 0856
	i 1'	60.7	1162	1136	1245	1264
	j,	77.8	0697	0232	0465	0931
	k k'	86.1	0897 1694	0921 1775	0955 1760	0890 1722
	1 1'	89.8	1403	1352	1420	1439
5.50	ם חי	66.5	-0.1611 1519	-0.1551 1601	-0.1619 1561	-0.1630 1547
	n n†	89.2	2009 2217	1908 2141	2009 2192	2029 2212
4.50	0'	4.65	0.0074			
	p p	14.8	.0880			
	ď,	43.7	0232 11 4 6	0282 1029	0390 1212	0449 1198
	r r'	57.6	1818 1877	1717 1809	1810 1868	1838 1863
	s s '	71.5	2267 2209	2165 2207	2267 2217	2237 2237
	t t'	90.3	1777 1810	1717 1941	1669 1619	0940 0931
3.85	u u'	74.7	-0.2101 2117	-0.2000 2008	-0.2109 2093	-0.2121 2079
	A .	91.9	1328 1503	1468 1659	0988 1063	0673 0457

¹ Prime symbols denote static orifices on suction surface.



TABLE I. - Continued. STABILIZER PRESSURE COEFFICIENTS

(c) Mach number 1.8, stabilizer in aft position, deflection angle = 100

Tail station	Tubel	Percent chord	Jet	pressure	ratio, P ₁ /1	ρ _ο
			Jet-off	4	6	9
			P	ressure co	efficient	
11.29	a a'	8.6	0.3176 2358	0.3228 2338	0.3317 2354	0.3303 2382
	р, р,	19.9	.2593 2285	.2564 2233	.2564 2297	.2552 2318
	c c	56.2	.1053 2560	.1051 2491	.1027 2605	.1001 2633
	q. q	76.5	.0591 2617	.0606 2564	.0590 2629	.0581 2633
	e e¹	85.5	.0534 2471	.0525 2435	.0550 2516	.0525 2520
7.09	f f'	4.96	0.3257 .1709	0.3228 .2168	0.3260 .1343	0.3247
	g,	12.9	.2269 - 2139	.2257 2095	.2241 2160	.2245 2189
	h h'	45.6	.0786 - 1434	.0784 1391	.0800 1423	.0791 1429
	1 1'	60.7	.0089 1077	.0080 0817	.0080 1286	.0080 1284
	j j	77.8	0494 1912	0469 1893	0493 1957	0500 2019
	k k'	86.1	0356 2082	0372 2071	0372 2095	0395 2124
	1.1	89.8	0794 2139	0776 2119	0817 2160	0815 2180
5.50	m'	66.5	-0.1110 2179	-0.1092 2144	-0.1140 2241	-0.1147 2285
	n n'	89.2	1450 2309	1415 2289	1472 2313	1478 1736
4.50	0 0'	4.65	0.2017 0721			
	p p'	14.8	.1636 0316			
	ď,	43.7	.0316 1717	.0275 1755	.0283 1982	.0250 2245
	r r'	57.6	1377 2455	1343 2370	1399 2516	1421 2568
	8 8 1	71.5	1831 2479	1779 2 4 51	1836 2508	1857 2463
	t t'	90.3	1531 2139	1488 2176	1626 1294	1599 0597
3.85 ′	u u'	74.7	-0.1896 2277	-0.1868 2208	-0.1949 2297	-0.1978 1655
	A.	91.9	1758	1618	1650	0920

¹Prime symbols denote static orifices on suction surface.



8

TABLE I. - Continued. STABILIZER PRESSURE COEFFICIENTS

(d) Mach number 1.8, stabilizer in fore position, deflection angle = 10°

Tail station	Tube 1	Percent chord	Jet pressure ratio, P ₁ /p ₀		P_1/P_0
			Jet-off	4	6
			Pressu	re coefficien	ıt
11.29	a a'	8.6	0.2999 2373	0.3058 2409	0.3170 2375
	ъ'	19.9	.2381 2397	.2457 2417	.2479 2367
	c,	56.2	.0809 2646	.0768 2690	.0778 2616
	đ đ	76.5	.0545 2582	.0520 2610	.0537 2568
	e e¹	85.5	.0561 2638	.0528 2650	.0489 2592
7.09	f f;	4.96	0.3143 2213	0.3122 2249	0.3138 2207
	g g'	12.9	.2149 2181	.2121 2193	.2191 2158
	h h'	45.6	.0625 1419	.0576 1409	.0561 1372
	i 1'	60.7	.0368 1844	.0376 1849	.0393 1837
	j,	77.8	1788	.02 4 8 1793	0208 1789
	k k'	86.1	0008 192 4	0008 1945	0016 1926
	1,	89.8	0433 1956	0416 1985	0385 1950
5.50	m m¹	66.5	-0.0184 1924	-0.0176 1921	-0.0184 1910
	n n'	89.2	1323 2237	1329 2353	1292 2126
4.50	0 1	4.65	0.1748 0793		
	p p'	14.8	.1427 0441		
	q q'	43.7	.0842 1836	.0800 1857	.0754 2118
	r r'	57.6	.0120 1932	.0104 1993	.0112 1934
	8 S '	71.5	1242 2373	1257 2433	1211 2335
	t t'	90.3	1740 1972	1737 2217	1701 1605
3.85	u u'	74.7	-0.2085 2510	-0.2129 2626	-0.2014 2255
	A .	91.9	1780 1972	1793 22 4 1	1621 1476

 $^{^{1}\}mathrm{Prime}$ symbols denote static orifices on suction surface.





TABLE I. - Continued. STABILIZER PRESSURE COEFFICIENTS (e) Mach number 0.63, stabilizer in aft position, deflection angle = 0°

Tail station	Tubel	Percent chord		Jet pres	sure ratio	, P ₁ /p ₀	
1222 50002011			Jet-off	2	2.5	3	3.5
				Pressur	e coeffici	ent	
11.29	a a [†]	8.6	-0.1951 1271	-0.1866 1250	-0.1795 1214	-0.1781 1269	-0.1757 1247
	р, р	19.9	1498	1461	1443	1446	1441
	c ·	56.2	1637 1324	1549 1267	1496 1214	1516 1146	1511 1142
	đ đ'	76.5	0505 0418	0404 0352	0404 0387	0440 0423	0404 0333
	e e'	85.5	0069 .0052	0052 0035	0052	0052	0035 0035
7.09	f f	4.96	-0.2317 1585	-0.2288 15 4 9	-0.2235 1566	-0.2204 1622	-0.2161 1564
	g g	12.9	2770 1933	2658 1919	2658 1883	2627 1887	2636 1845
	h h t	45.6	2700 2177	2464 1989	2411 1883	2433 1887	2390 1880
	1 1'	60.7	1777 1254	1584 1144	1549 1126	1569 1146	1511 1089
	j,	77.8	0487 0313	0246 0105	0264 0105	0229 0105	0404 0087
	k k'	86.1	.0034 .0121	.0246 .0316	.0281 .0316	.0264 .0299	.0281 .0316
	1 1'	89.8	.0348 .0348	.0369 .0563	0088 .0510	.0370 .0511	.0492 .0509
5.50	m -	66.5	-0.1463 1219	-0.1267 1091	-0.1214 1056	-0.1199 1022	-0.1177 1001
	n n'	89.2	.0365 .0296	.0739	.0757 .0457	.07 4 0 .0599	.0808 0386
4.50	0 01	4.65	-0.0383 0662				
	p p'	14.8	1289 0975				
	ď,	43.7	5714 3937	5510 3750	5457 3679	5485 3703	5606 3620
	r r'	57.6	3031 2665	2799 2464	2764 2429	2751 2433	2759 2302
	8 g '	71.5	1080 1097	0880 0968	0809 0845	0723 0864	-,0667 0773
	t t'	90.3	.0487 .0209	.0862 .0563	.0915 .0580	.0934 .0564	.0931 .0562
3.85	u u'	74.7	-0.1045	-0.0845	-0.0774	-0.0723	-0.0597
	Δ,	91.9	.0400 .0243	.0686 .0598	.0757 .0633	.0740 .0652	.0755 .1247

 $^{^{1}\}mathrm{Prime}$ symbols denote static orifices on suction surface.



TABLE I. - Continued. STABILIZER PRESSURE COEFFICIENTS

(f) Mach number 0.63, stabilizer in aft position, deflection angle $= 10^{\circ}$

Tail station	Tubel	Percent chord	Jet pressure ratio, P ₁ /p ₀				
			Jet-off	Ž	2.5	5	3.5
				Pressur	e coeffici	ent	
11.29	a i	8.6	-0.5054	0.3162	0.5104	0.5139	0.3156
	p,	19.9	.1727	.1908	.1851	.1851	.1904
	c c'	56.2	.0122	.0300	.0299	.0317	.0517
	đ đ'	76.5	.0104 3438	.0500 2844	.0282 2892	.0335 2892	.0517 2804
	e e¹	85.5	.0209 1832	.0318 1024	.0239 1040	.0299 1058	.0317 1022
7.09	f f'	4.96	0.2687	0.2809	0.2733	0.2804	0.2786
	g g'	12.9	.1291	.1484	.1481	.1481	.1587
	h h'	45.6	0366 4048	0229 3480	0246 3474	0176 3456	0194 3421
	1 1'	60.7	0575	0159	0141 5925	0088 5537	0105 5432
	j j	77.8	.0226 1116	.0512 0653	.0423 0670	.0458 0652	.0529 0670
	k k'	86.1	.0401 0418	.0724 0055	.0670 01.70	.0828 0052	.0776
	1 1'	83.8	7678 0087	.0883 .0265	.0052 .0264	.0634 .0246	.0917 .0282
5.50	m t	66.5	-0.0506 2565	-0.0229 2226	-0.0246 1975	-0.0211 19 4 0	-0.0176 1887
	n n'	89.2	.0575 0418	.0936	.0859 .0105	.0970 .0211	.0987 .0194
4.50	0 1	4.65	0.2565				
	p p'	14.8	.1780 3909				
	ď, ď	43.7	3507 6876	3091 5918	3139 5820	3086 5#45	3051 5837
	r r'	57.6	2181 4310	1643 3392	-:1675 -:3368	1640 3350	-:1657
	8 9 '	71.5	0680 2181	0194 1678	0211 1622	0158 1552	0105 1463
	t t'	90.3	.0418 0209	.0883 .0141	.0846 .0123	.0934 1693	.1022
J.85	u u'	74.7	-0.4363 1902	-0.0318 1219	-0.0317 1216	-0.0282 1269	-0.0246 1216
	v ,	91.9	.0942	.0600 .0742	.0511 .0705	.0564 .0687	.0687

 $^{^{1}}$ Prime symbols denote static orifices on suction surface.





TABLE I. - Concluded. STABILIZER PRESSURE COEFFICIENTS (g) Mach number 0.63, stabilizer in fore position, deflection angle = 10°

Tail station	Tubel	Percent chord		Jet press	sure ratio	, P ₁ /p ₀	
			Jet-off	2	2.5	3	3.5
				Pressur	e coeffici	ent -	
11.29	a a¹	8.6	0.3067	0.3052	0.3106	0.3035	0.3087
	р, р	19.9	.1733	.1807	.1849	.1807	.1824
	c c'	56.2	.0173 7400	.0228 7491	.0244 7417	.0228 7438	.0210 7421
	đ đ i	76.5	.0173 2859	.0228 2736	.0226 2722	.0245 2684	.0210 2666
	e e '	85.5	.0225 1074	.0228 0982	.0244 0977	.0245 0964	.0263
7.09	f f'	4.96	0.2928	0.2947	0.2966	0.2912	0.2982
	g g'	12.9	.1681	.1684	.1727	.1736	.1719
	h h'	45.6	0641 4228	0473 4210	0488 4118	0508 4175	0473 4105
	i, -	60.7	0641 2963	0473 2824	0488 2774	0491 2789	0491 2789
	j j'	77.8	5129 1230	1859 1070	.0279 1047	0543 1105	1087
	k k'	86.1	.0311 0537	.0561 0385	.0558 ~.0383	.0526 0421	.0543
	1,	89.8	.0606 0173	.0578 0017	.0820	.0771 0017	.0719
5.50	m m	66.5	-0.1109 3154	-0.0894 3000	-0.0890 2949	-0.0912 2964	-0.0877 2894
	n n'	89.2	.0433 0467	.0684 0210	.0715 0226	.0701 0228	.0684 0298
4.50	0 0	4.65	0.2686 4592				
	p p'	14.8	.1941 3240				
	q q'	43.7	1005 5372	0982 5315	0959 5253	1157 5315	1035 5315
	r r'	57.6	3535 5563	3350 5421	3263 5357	3333 5333	3298 5298
	8 8 '	71.5	1317 2928	1105 2859	1082 2792	1105 2736	1122 2701
	t t'	90.3	.0294 0641	.0631 0350	.0663 0349	.0614 0350	.0631 0298
3.85	u u'	74.7	-0.1265 2391	-0.1105 2333	-0.1029 2233	-0.1105 2263	-0.1070 2421
	v v'	91.9	.0051 0606	.0438 0333	.0418 0314	.0368 0298	.0456 0280

¹ Prime symbols denote static orifices on suction surface.




T92

Figure 1. - Schematic diagram of jet-exit model installed in 8- by 6-foot supersonic wind tunnel.

ᅜ

C-34220

Figure 2. - Photograph of stabilizers mounted on afterbody.





Location of static orifices

Tail station	Tube	Percent chord
3.85	u V	7 4.7 91.1
4.50	o p q r s t	4.65 14.8 43.7 57.6 71.5 90.3
5.50	m n	66.5 89.2
7.09	f B 1 1 1 k	4.96 12.9 45.6 60.7 77.8 86.1 89.8
11.29	a. b c d e	8.6 19.9 56.2 76.5 85.5




Figure 3. - Schematic diagram of horizontal stabilizer. (All dimensions in inches.)



Figure 4. - Model with horizontal stabilizer in two positions.






Figure 5. - Afterbody instrumentation. (All dimensions in inches.)



(a) Stabilizer in aft position. Deflection angle, 0° .

Figure 6. - Effect of jet pressure ratio on pressure distribution of stabilizer at free-stream Mach number of 1.5.






(a) Concluded. Stabilizer in aft position. Deflection angle, 0° .

Figure 6. - Continued. Effect of jet pressure ratio on pressure distribution of stabilizer at free-stream Mach number of 1.5.






(b) Stabilizer in aft position. Deflection angle, 5° .

Figure 6. - Continued. Effect of jet pressure ratio on pressure distribution of stabilizer at free-stream Mach number of 1.5.





(b) Concluded. Stabilizer in aft position. Deflection angle, 5° .

Figure 6. - Continued. Effect of jet pressure ratio on pressure distribution of stabilizer at free-stream Mach number of 1.5.



(c) Stabilizer in aft position. Deflection angle, 10° .

60

80

100

Figure 6. - Continued. Effect of jet pressure ratio on pressure distribution of stabilizer at free-stream Mach number of 1.5.




Percent chord

40

20


0



(c) Concluded. Stabilizer in aft position. Deflection angle, 10° .

Figure 6. - Continued. Effect of jet pressure ratio on pressure distribution of stabilizer at free-stream Mach number of 1.5.





(d) Stabilizer in fore position. Deflection angle, 10° .


Figure 6. - Continued. Effect of jet pressure ratio on pressure distribution of stabilizer at free-stream Mach number of 1.5.



.1

.2

20



Percent chord

60

80

100

40

ð

Figure 6. - Concluded. Effect of jet pressure ratio on pressure distribution of stabilizer at free-stream Mach number of 1.5.






Figure 7. - Effect of stabilizers on boattail pressure coefficient at free-stress Mach number of 1.5.




Figure 8. - Effect of stabilizer on base annulus and secondary-flow-passage pressure coefficients at free-stream Mach number of 1.5.